

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademak# Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/772,922	01/31/2001	Yasuaki Ikemura	826.1672/JDH	99¥2		
21171	7590 12/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
	ON, DC 20005		3627			
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/200-	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>;</u>		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/772,92	09/772,922 IK		KEMURA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joseph A.	Fischetti	3627	$ \mathcal{M}_{I}\rangle$			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence a	address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (3 Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered tim S from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	January 200	<u>1</u> .	•	•			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from cor	,					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			=				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. No	ite the attached O	Office Action or form I	PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been prity docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in App ents have been re e 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachmen	t(c)		·					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/M	fail Date				
3) U Infon Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date)	5) Notice of Infor Other:	mal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- l. Claims 1-11, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 709, subclass 238.
- II. Claim 12-16, drawn to a method, classified in class 705, subclass 28.
- III. Claim 17, drawn to a memory device, classified in class 369, subclass 272.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a medium on which music is recorded. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and I, III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be practiced by human intervention e.g. the step of calculating may be done by a human.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Re: GROUP I

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

the species of claims 2-4 related to time dependent shopping;

the species of claims 5,6 related to remainder quantity information:

the species of claims 7, 8,9 related to prediction order quantity information.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 10, 11 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/772,922

Art Unit: 3627

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

RE Group II:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

the species of claim 13 related to time dependent shopping;

the species of claim 14 related to prediction order quantity determination.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 12, 15, 16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 09/772,922 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to PRIMARY EXAMINER Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

M